CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Graph Algorithms: Traversal Applications I

Instructor: Shahrokh Shahi

College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Summer 2022



CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

Graph

- Graph definition and representation
 - Adjacency matrix
 - Adjacency list
- Graph traversal
 - Breadth first search (BFS)
 - Shortest path (<u>unweighted</u> graphs)
 - Testing bipartiteness
 - Tree traversal (level-order)
 - Connected components
 - Depth first search (DFS)
 - Topological sorting
 - Tree traversal (in-order, pre-order, post-order)
 - Connected components

- Graph problems/algorithms
 - Minimum spanning tree (MST)
 - Kruskal (greedy)
 - Prim (greedy)
 - Shortest path (directed weighted graphs)
 - Dijkstra (greedy)
 - Bellman-Ford (dynamic programming)
 - Floyd-Warshall (dynamic programming)
 - Flow network
 - Max-flow min-cut theorem
 - Ford-Fulkerson algorithm



Graph

- Review of graph definition and representation
 - Adjacency matrix
 - Adjacency list

- Graph traversal
 - Breadth first search (BFS)
 - Depth first search (DFS)



Graph Properties and Terminology Review

1 # adjacency matrix:	1 # adjacency list> dictionary/hashma	P D	emo code time!
2 3 graph1 = [4 [0, 1, 0, 0], 5 [0, 0, 1, 1], 6 [0, 0, 0, 0], 7 [0, 0, 1, 0] 8]	2 3 graph1 = { 4 0: [1], 5 1: [2, 3], 6 2: [], 7 3: [2] 8 }	Directed 0 1) 0 1 Undirected Unweighted
9 10 graph2 = [11 [0, 10, 0, 0], 12 [0, 0, 15, 20], 13 [0, 0, 0, 0], 14 [0, 0, 25, 0] 15] 16	9 10 graph2 = { 11 0: {1: 10}, 12 1: {2: 15, 3: 20}, 13 2: {}, 14 3: {2: 25} 15 }	2 - 3 Graph-1) 2 3 Graph-3
17 graph3 = [18 [0, 1, 0, 0], 19 [1, 0, 1, 1], 20 [0, 1, 0, 1], 21 [0, 1, 1, 0] 22] 23	16 17 graph3 = { 18 0: [1], 19 1: [0, 2, 3], 20 2: [1, 3], 21 3: [1, 2] 22 }	Directed 0 $W_{01}=10$ 1 $W_{01}=10$ 1) $0 \frac{W_{01}=W_{10}=10}{1}$ Undirected Weighted
24 graph4 = [25 [0, 10, 0, 0], 26 [10, 0, 15, 20], 27 [0, 15, 0, 25], 28 [0, 20, 25, 0] 29]	23 24 graph4 = { 25 0: {1: 10}, 26 1: {1: 10, 2: 15, 3: 20}, 27 2: {1: 15, 3: 25}, 28 3: {1: 20, 2: 25} 29 }	2 W ₂₃ =25 Graph-2	$V_{13}=20$ $W_{13}=W_{31}=20$ $W_{23}=W_{32}=25$ 3 Graph-4

CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

Graph Definition: Summary

• Two common ways to represent graphs

- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list
- Adjacency matrix
 - Space: n² elements for n vertices
 - Easy to check if a link exists between two vertices
- Adjacency list
 - More common representation: most large real-world graphs are sparse
 - Space: Number of edges [2*(number of edges) if undirected] + number of vertices, i.e., (m+n) or (2m+n)
 - Linked list implementation is typically used



Graph

- Graph definition and representation
 - Adjacency matrix
 - Adjacency list
- Graph traversal
 - Breadth first search (BFS)
 - Shortest path (<u>unweighted</u> graphs)
 - Testing bipartiteness
 - Tree traversal (level-order)
 - Connected components
 - Depth first search (DFS)
 - Topological sorting
 - Tree traversal (in-order, pre-order, post-order)
 - Connected components

- Graph problems/algorithms
 - Minimum spanning tree (MST)
 - Kruskal (greedy)
 - Prim (greedy)
 - Shortest path (directed weighted graphs)
 - Dijkstra (greedy)
 - Bellman-Ford (dynamic programming)
 - Floyd-Warshall (dynamic programming)
 - Flow network
 - Max-flow min-cut theorem
 - Ford-Fulkerson algorithm



Graph Traversal

Connectivity and Traversal

- <u>s-t connectivity problem</u>. Given two nodes *s* and *t*, is there a path between *s* and *t*? (is t reachable from s?)
- <u>s-t shortest path problem</u>. Given two nodes *s* and *t*, what is the length of a shortest path between *s* and *t*?
- [Strongly] connected component is a set of vertices all reachable from each other (mutually reachable)
- Connected component problem. Find all nodes reachable from *s*.
- Applications
 - Facebook, mutual friends
 - Maze traversal
 - Fewest hops in a communication network



Graph Traversal

- Traversal = Exploring = Searching
- A graph needs to be traversed in order to determine some properties

•	Breadth-first search (BFS)	
		-

- Shortest path (unweighted graphs)
- Testing bipartiteness
- Tree traversal (level-order)
- Connected components
- Depth-first search (DFS)
 - Topological sorting
 - Tree traversal (in-order, pre-order, post-order)
 - Connected components

	Implementation	Data Structure
BFS	Iterative	Queue (FIFO)
DFS	Recursive	(not explicitly required \rightarrow execution stack)
	Iterative	Stack (LIFO)



- An efficient graph traversal procedure
- BFS starts from a source vertex "s"
- At each vertex u, all neighbors, i.e., vertices v adjacent to u are visited before moving on to vertices adjacent to some v



BFS(G, s)

for each vertex $u \in G.V - \{s\}$ u.color = WHITE2 3 $u.d = \infty$ $u.\pi = \text{NIL}$ parent s.color = GRAY $6 \ s.d = 0$ $s.\pi = \text{NIL}$ $Q = \emptyset$ ENQUEUE(Q, s)while $Q \neq \emptyset$ 10 u = DEQUEUE(Q)11 12 for each $v \in G.Adj[u]$ if *v*.color == WHITE 13 v.color = GRAY14 15 v.d = u.d + 116 $v.\pi = u$ 17 ENQUEUE(Q, ν) 18 u.color = BLACKblack := visited & all unvisited neighbors added to the queue

s} white := unvisited node distance from source parent gray := visited node

10



CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

- · An efficient graph traversal procedure
- · BFS starts from a source vertex "s"
- At each vertex u, all neighbors, i.e., vertices v adjacent to u are visited before moving on to vertices adjacent to some v
- Queue = $\{\}$
- Visited = $\{\}$





Source: "s"

- An efficient graph traversal procedure
- BFS starts from a source vertex "s"
- At each vertex u, all neighbors, i.e., vertices v adjacent to u are visited before moving on to vertices adjacent to some v
- Queue = {}
- Visited = $\{\}$

Demo code time!



В

- BFS runs in O(|V| + |E|) time
- The worst case is when the graph is connected.
 - Each vertex is added to the queue and removed from it exactly once
 - Each adjacency list is used exactly once



- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path.
- No explicit storage of vertices is required (BFS needs a queue)
- However, calls for each vertex build up on the <u>execution stack</u> (<u>recursive</u> implementation)
- An <u>iterative</u> implementation is possible using an explicit <u>stack</u> data structure.
- Traversal = Exploring = Searching (visiting vertices one-by-one)



- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path.
- Traversal = Exploring = Searching (visiting vertices one-by-one)
- Analogy:

Exploring a maze:
"Visited" set → A piece of chalk
"Stack" → ball of string
Push: unwind the string to try new path
Pop: rewind the string to return to previous junction







• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path



DFS(G) 1 for each vertex $u \in G.V$ 2 u.color = WHITE3 $u.\pi = NIL$ 4 time = 0 5 for each vertex $u \in G.V$

- if *u.color* == white
 - DFS-VISIT(G, u)



CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

- Stack = $\{A\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$



discovery | finishing time



- Stack = $\{A, B\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$





- Stack = $\{A, B\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$





- Stack = $\{A, B, C\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B\}$





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D}
Visited = {A, B, C}





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D, E}
Visited = {A, B, C, D}





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D, E, G}
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E}





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G}





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}





Pop

• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

- Stack = {A, B, C, D, E, G, }
 Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack







26

Pop

• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, C, D, E, , , }
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}

• No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack







• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

• No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack







• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path





• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Stack = {A, B, X, X, X, X, Y, Y
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}

• No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack







• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path

Pop
Stack = {A, X, X, X, X, X, X, X
Visited = {A, B, C, D, E, G, F}

• No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack





- Stack = $\{x, x, x, x, x, x, x, x, x\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack
- No more element in the stack \rightarrow Halt





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Note in this example we were able to reach all nodes without any backtracking. But this is not usually the case in many examples!





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Note in this example we were able to reach all nodes without any backtracking. But this is not usually the case in many examples!
- → Consider the same example, with minor difference:





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$



discovery | finishing time


- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B\}$
- Visited = $\{A\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →

• Stack =
$$\{A, B, C\}$$

• Visited = $\{A, B\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →

• Stack =
$$\{A, B, C, D\}$$

• Visited = $\{A, B, C\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →

• Stack =
$$\{A, B, C, D\}$$

• Visited = $\{A, B, C, D\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, C, D\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, C, \mathbf{\Sigma}\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack



Pop

discovery | finishing time



- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, E\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D\}$



discovery | finishing time

4



2 | 5

- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, E, G\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, E, G, F\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference \rightarrow
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}, E, G, F\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, E, G, F\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore → backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference \rightarrow
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}, E, G, \mathcal{L}\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}, E, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}\}$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore → backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{A, B, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D},$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore \rightarrow backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →

- Stack = $\{A, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{$
- Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$
- No more path to explore → backtrack





- DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path
- Consider the same example, with minor difference →
- Stack = $\{ \bigstar, \bigstar, \heartsuit, \heartsuit, \heartsuit, \heartsuit, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar \}$

Pop

• Visited = $\{A, B, C, D, E, G, F\}$

Nothing left to explore \rightarrow empty stack \rightarrow Halt All nodes are visited, and we reach to the root







• DFS follows a single path as far (deep) as possible and then backtracks to the last alternative path





- DFS also runs in O(|V| + |E|) time
- DFS is called exactly once per vertex
- Each adjacency list is used exactly once

	Implementation	Data Structure	Running Time	Space Complexity
BFS	<u>Iterative</u>	Queue (FIFO)	O(V + E)	O(V)
DFS	Recursive	(not explicitly required \rightarrow execution stack)	O(V + E)	O(V)
	Iterative	Stack (LIFO)		





Now, we know

how to run BFS

and DFS from a

given source

node.

- Graph definition and representation
 - Adjacency matrix
 - Adjacency list
- Graph traversal
 - Breadth first search (BFS)
 - Shortest path (<u>unweighted</u> graphs)
 - Testing bipartiteness
 - Tree traversal (level-order)
 - Connected components
 - Depth first search (DFS)
 - Topological sorting
 - Tree traversal (in-order, pre-order, post-order)
 - Connected components

Graph problems/algorithms

- Minimum spanning tree (MST)
 - Kruskal (greedy)
 - Prim (greedy)
- Shortest path (directed weighted graphs)
 - Dijkstra (greedy)
 - Bellman-Ford (dynamic programming)
 - Floyd-Warshall (dynamic programming)
- Flow network
 - Max-flow min-cut theorem
 - Ford-Fulkerson algorithm











• <u>Connected component problem</u>. Find all nodes reachable from *s*.

R will consist of nodes to which s has a path	s
Initially $R = \{s\}$	
While there is an edge (u, v) where $u \in R$ and $v \notin R$	
Add v to R	
Endwhile	it's safe to add v

- Upon termination, *R* is the connected component containing *s*.
 - BFS
 - DFS



D

- Ex1: Given a set of flight plans, can we travel from Atlanta (ATL) to London (LHR)?
- Flights:
 - (JFK, ATL)
 - (ATL, LAX)
 - (LAX, SFO)
 - (JFK, SFO)
 - (SFO, JFK)
 - (JFK, LHR)



• Ex1: Given a set of flight plans, can we travel from Atlanta (ATL) to London (LHR)?



• Ex1: Given a set of flight plans, can we travel from Atlanta (ATL) to London (LHR)?

JFK

ATL

source

- Flights:(JFK, ATL)
 - (ATL, LAX)
 - (LAX, SFO)
 - (JFK, SFO)
 - (SFO, JFK)
 - (JFK, LHR)

Define the corresponding graph Run BFS or DFS from the source node, i.e., the node associated with ATL During the traversal check if the destination (LHR) is a neighbor of the current node

Demo code time!

LH

destination



SFO

LA X

1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0



1 1 0 0 0
1 1 0 0 1
1 0 0 1 grid =
0 1 0 0



1	1	0	0	0	Each cell = graph node				•
1	1	0	0	1					\bullet
1	0	0	0	1		grid =			
0	0	1	0	0					



1	1	0	0	0	<mark>Each cell = graph node</mark>			•		
1	1	0	0	1				•		lacksquare
1	0	0	0	1		grid =	•	•	•	
0 0 1 0 0	0	0	Neighbors of grid[i][j]:							
	• grid[i+1][j] • grid[i][j-1]		•							
					• grid[i][i+1]					



• Ex2 [Grid problems]: Given an m-by-n 2D binary matrix in which 0 represent water and 1 represent land, design an algorithm computing the number islands. An island includes one or more horizontally or vertically cells surrounded by water.

So, we can define the corresponding graph, then run BFS or DFS from each grid cell with the value of 1, i.e., grid[i][j]=1 and mark visited nodes. Number of islands is equal to the number of times we is grid[i][j]=1

need to start a search



Ex2 [Grid problems]: Given an m-by-n 2D binary matrix in which 0 represent water and 1 represent land, design an algorithm computing the number islands. An island includes one or more horizontally or vertically cells surrounded by water.
 But do we need to define

the corresponding graph explicitly? (by defining the adjacency matrix or adjacency list?)





Ex2 [Grid problems]: Given an m-by-n 2D binary matrix in which 0 represent water and 1 represent land, design an algorithm computing the number islands. An island includes one or more horizontally or vertically cells surrounded by water.
 We know the nodes (= grid

cells) and we know the neighbors (the relationship), so we can skip the graph definition part!
Each cell = graph node Neighbors of grid[i][j]:



Demo code!



grid[i-1][j]

grid[i+1][j]

grid[i][j-1]

grid[i][j+1]



BFS and DFS

• Both are graph traversal algorithms

BFS	DFS					
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O($ V + E $), <u>Space</u> : O($ V $)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O($ V + E $), <u>Space</u> : O($ V $)					
BFS(G, s)1for each vertex $u \in G, V - \{s\}$ 2 $u.color = WHITE$ 3 $u.d = \infty$ 4 $u.\pi = NIL$ 5 $s.color = GRAY$ 6 $s.d = 0$ 7 $s.\pi = NIL$ 8 $Q = \emptyset$ 9ENQUEUE(Q, s)10while $Q \neq \emptyset$ 11 $u = DEQUEUE(Q)$ 12for each $v \in G.Adj[u]$ 13if $v.color = GRAY$ 14 $v.color = GRAY$ 15 $v.d = u.d + 1$ 16 $v.\pi = u$ 17ENQUEUE(Q, v)18 $u.color = BLACK$						


BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(IVI + IEI), <u>Space</u> : O(IVI)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph.	
Formally, given graph $G = (V, E)$ with source s, we define the predecessor subgraph of G as $G_{\pi} =$	
(V_{π}, E_{π}) where $V_{\pi} = \{v \in V : v. \pi \neq \text{NIL}\} \cup \{s\}$ $E_{\pi} = \{(v, \pi, v) : v \in V = \{s\}\}$ (tree edges)	
$L_{\pi} = \{(v, n, v), v \in v_{\pi} = \{s\}\}$ (nee edges) When applied to a directed or undirected graph	
$G = (V, E)$, BFS constructs π so that the predecessor subgraph $G_{\pi} = (V_{\pi}, E_{\pi})$ is a breadth-first tree.	



BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(IVI + IEI), <u>Space</u> : O(IVI)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph.	
Formally, given graph $G = (V, E)$ with source s, we define the <u>predecessor subgraph</u> of G as $G_{\pi} = (V_{\pi}, E_{\pi})$ where	
$V_{\pi} = \{ v \in V : v. \pi \neq \text{NIL} \} \cup \{ s \}$ $E_{\pi} = \{ (v. \pi, v) : v \in V_{\pi} - \{ s \} \} \text{ (tree edges)}$	
When applied to a directed or undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, BFS constructs π so that the predecessor subgraph $G_{\pi} = (V_{\pi}, E_{\pi})$ is a breadth-first tree.	



BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph. Source: $A = 0$ d = 1 d = 2 B = 1	
d = 3	

BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph. Source: $A = 0$ d = 1	
E = 2 $C = 1$	
G D	

BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(IVI + IEI), <u>Space</u> : O(IVI)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph. Printing out the vertices on a shortest path from s to v, using the BFS tree	
PRINT-PATH (G, s, ν)	
1 if $v == s$ 2 print s 3 elseif $v. \pi ==$ NIL 4 print "no path from" s "to" v "exists" 5 else PRINT-PATH(G, s, v. π) 6 print v	



BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)	<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph.	The predecessor subgraph produced by a DFS may be composed of <u>several trees</u> , because the search may repeat from multiple sources.
We can print out the vertices on a shortest path from s to v, using the BFS tree	The predecessor subgraph of a depth-first search forms a depth-first forest comprising several depth-first trees.
We only have one distance measure (timestamp), denoted by d, assigned to each node, i.e., the time that a node visited for the first (and last) time.	DFS timestamps each node with two numbers; d (discovery time): the first time we visit the node and f (finishing time): the last time we visit the node (after exploring all possible paths followed the node)



DFS Forest Example



CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

DFS Forest Example





CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

BFS	DFS
<u>Iterative</u> : Queue (FIFO), <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)	Recursive: (execution stack), Iterative: Stack(LIFO)Time:O(IVI + IEI), Space: O(IVI)
> BFS builds a breadth-first tree as it searches the graph.	The timestamps have parenthesis structure: In any DFS on graph $G = (V, E)$, for any two vertices u and v, exactly one of the following can
We can print out the vertices on a shortest path from s to v, using the BFS tree	happen: 1. [u.d, u.f] and [v.d, v.f] are disjoint
 We only have one distance measure (timestamp), denoted by d, assigned to each node, i.e., the time that a node visited for the first (and last) time. 	 2. The interval [u. d, u. f] is contained entirely within the interval [v. d, v. f] 3. The interval [v. d, v. f] is contained entirely within the interval [u. d, u. f]



DFS: Timestamps Parenthesis Structure

- The timestamps have parenthesis structure:
- In any DFS on graph G = (V, E), for any two vertices u and v, exactly one of the following can happen:
 - 1. The intervals [u.d, u.f] and [v.d, v.f] are entirely disjoint, and neither u nor v is a descendant of the other in the depth-first forest.
 - 2. The interval [u. d, u. f] is contained entirely within the interval [v. d, v. f], and u is a descendant of v in a depth-first tree.
 - 3. The interval [v.d, v.f] is contained entirely within the interval [u.d, u.f], and v is a descendant of u in a depth-first tree.



DFS Parenthesis Structure Example



DFS Parenthesis Structure Example



CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms | Summer 2022

DFS
<u>Recursive:</u> (execution stack), <u>Iterative</u> : Stack(LIFO) <u>Time</u> :O(V + E), <u>Space</u> : O(V)
The predecessor subgraph of a depth-first search forms a depth-first forest comprising several depth-first trees.
DFS timestamps each node with two numbers;
 d (discovery time) and f (finishing time). > The timestamps have parenthesis structure.







References

- The lecture slides are mainly based on the <u>suggested textbooks</u> and the corresponding published lecture notes:
 - CLRS: Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., & Stein, C. Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition, MIT Press, 2009.
 - KT: Kleinberg, J., & Tardos, E. Algorithm design. Pearson/Addison-Wesley, 2006.
 - DPV: Dasgupta, S., Papadimitriou, C. H., & Vazirani, U. V. Algorithms, McGraw-Hill Higher Education., 2008.
 - Slides by Kevin Wayne. Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley.

