# CS-3510: Design and Analysis of Algorithms 

# Dynamic Programming II 

Instructor: Shahrokh Shahi

College of Computing
Georgia Institute of Technology
Summer 2022

## Announcements (1/2)

- HW2 is released; due this Friday June 3, 2022.
- Exam 1 next week, Thursday June 9, 2022.
- Exam 1:
- Asymptotic notations and complexity
- Divide-and-Conquer
- Dynamic Programming
- Practice problems
- Will be published on Thursday
- Review for Exam 1 on Thursday


## Announcements (2/2)

- Lecture feedback
- https://forms.gle/hAJVaM44Ch2uPqBPA



## Roadmap



## A Note about Recursive Algorithms

- In general, recursive algorithms can be used in various setups:
- Backtracking
- Ex. Enumerating all subsets of a given set or array
- Usually (not always!), in these cases we can expect an exponential runtime $0\left(a^{n}\right)$, where $a$ is the number of possible options to choose at each step which is equal to the number branches after each node in the recursion tree.
- Divide-and-Conquer (D\&C)
- Dynamic programming (DP)
- Traversing a graph or tree using the depth-first search (DFS) approach


## Dynamic Programming (DP)

- Dynamic Programming vs. Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-Conquer:

- Divide problem into subproblems

Note: The subproblems do

- Recursively solve the subproblems and aggregate solutions not overlap


## Dynamic Programming

- Divide problem into subproblems, recursively solve them
- Subproblems overlap
- When a subproblem has been solved, remember its solution and reuse that solution rather than resolving it later (memoization)


## Dynamic Programming (DP)

- Dynamic Programming


Subproblems overlap
vs. Divide-and-Conquer


Subproblems do not overlap


## DP Example: (1) Fibonacci



## Dynamic Programming

- Top-down vs. Bottom-up Approach
- "Top-down" dynamic programming

Recursive with
memoization

- Recursively subdivide problem into subproblems
- "Bottom-up" dynamic programming
- Start at the leaf nodes of tree, i.e., the base case(s).
- Build up solution to larger problem from solutions of the simpler subproblems


## DP Example: (1) Fibonacci

## - So, which one is better?

| Top-down (recursive with memoization) | Bottom-up (iterative) (a.k.a tabulation) |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Starts with the root of the recursion tree <br> - Implemented as recursive function <br> - [Memoization:] The result (returned values) of each recursive call will be stored in a data structure, such as array or hashmap (dictionary in Python) <br> Main advantage: <br> - Easier (more "intuitive") to write, as we don't need to know the ordering of the recursion calls and sub-problems | - Starts with base cases <br> - Implemented with iteration (loop) <br> - Main advantage: <br> - Avoiding the recursion overhead (recursive calls). So, in practice, to program may run slightly faster. <br> - "Sometimes" it allows to use less memory. |

## DP Example: (1) Fibonacci

- Top-down (recursive with memoization)

```
Fib(n): Time: O(n), Space: O(n)
    dp = [0]*n # initialize dp[i]=0
    recur(i):
        if n==0: return 0
        if n==1: return 1
        if dp[i]==0:
            dp[i] = recur(i-1) + recur(i-2)
        return dp[i]
    return recur(n)
```

Bottom-up (iterative)

```
Fib(n):
    dp = [0]*n # initialize dp[i]=0
    dp[0] = 0
    dp[1] = 1
    for i=2,...n:
        dp[i] = dp[i-1] + dp[i-2]
    return dp[n]
```

Do we need to store all values?

## DP Example: (1) Fibonacci

- Top-down (recursive with memoization)

Bottom-up (iterative)

Fi

```
    dp = [0]*n # initialize dp[i]=0
    dp[0] = 0
    dp[1] = 1
    for i=2,\ldots,n:
        dp[i] = dp[i-1] + dp[i-2]
    return dp[n]
```

Each computation needs only the last two Fibonacci numbers!
Re-write the code with two scalars.

## DP Example: (2) Climbing Stairs

## - Problem:

- We want to climb a staircase
- The staircase has $n$ steps.
- Each time we can take either 1 or 2 steps.
- In how many distinct ways we can reach to the top?



## DP Solution:

- Let dp[i] = number of distinct ways to reach $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ step.
- Recurrence relation: $\mathbf{d p}[\mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{d p}[\mathbf{i}-1]+\mathbf{d p}[\mathbf{i}-2]$
- Base case(s):
- $\quad \mathrm{dp}[0]=0$, (when we are on the ground, no stairs)
- $\mathbf{d p}[1]=1, \quad($ only one way to reach step 1)
- $d p[2]=2$ (we have two ways to reach step 2)



## DP Example: (2) Climbing Stairs

- Top-down (recursive with memoization)


## Bottom-up (iterative)

```
Stairclimbing(n): Time: O(n), Space: O(n)
    dp = [0]*(n+1) # initialize dp[i]=0
    dp[0] = 0
    dp[1] = 1
    dp[2] = 2
    for i=3,\ldots,n:
        dp[i] = dp[i-1] + dp[i-2]
    return dp[n]
```

Similar to Fibonacci we can re-write the code with two scalars.

## DP Example: (2) Climbing Stairs

- Top-down (recursive with memoization)


## Bottom-up (iterative)

```
StairClimbing(n):
                            Time: O(n), Space: O(1)
    if n< 3: return n
    f1=1
    f2 = 2
    for i=3,\ldots,n:
        f= f1 + f2
        f1=f2; f2=f
    return f
```

Similar to Fibonacci we can re-write the code with two scalars.

## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length n inches and a table of prices pi for $i=1, \ldots$, $n$, determine the maximum revenue $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces.
Note that if the price $p_{n}$ for a rod of length $n$ is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.
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## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces. Note that if the price $p_{n}$ for a rod of length $n$ is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.

- Example:

Consider $\mathrm{n}=4$

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
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- Example:

Consider $\mathrm{n}=4$
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## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces. Note that if the price $p_{n}$ for a rod of length $n$ is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.

- Example:

Consider $\mathrm{n}=4$

| length $i$ | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 |  | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 |  | 30 |
| 9 |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |
| DD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) |  |  |  | ) |  |  | (c) |  |  | (d) |  |  |
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(f)

(g)

(h)

## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces. Note that if the price $p_{n}$ for a rod of length $n$ is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.
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| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |


(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(g)

## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces. Note that if the price $p_{n}$ for a rod of length $n$ is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.

- Example:

Consider $\mathrm{n}=4$
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## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Problem:

Given a rod of length $n$ inches and a table of prices $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, determine the maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting up the rod and selling the pieces.
Note that if the price $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for a rod of length n is large enough, an optimal solution may require no cutting at all.

## - Example:

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |

Consider $\mathrm{n}=4$
How many ways to cut up a rod of length n?

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

- At each integer distance i inches from the left end, we have an independent option of "cutting" or "not cutting", for $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1: 2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is maximized.


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n$ ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$

| length $i$ |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 |  | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $5$ | $D$ |  | $8$ |  |  |

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=\begin{gathered}\text { no cut } \\ \tilde{p_{1}}\end{gathered}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(\begin{array}{c}\text { no cut } \\ \tilde{p_{2}}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { cut } @ \mathrm{i}=1 \\ \tilde{p}_{1}^{n}+\begin{array}{c}\text { max revenue from } \mathrm{n}-1 \\ \tilde{r}_{1}\end{array} \\ \tilde{p}^{(0)}\end{array}\right.$

$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length n ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |
| $\sigma{ }^{9}$ |  | $\left.0^{1} 0^{8}\right)^{8}$ |  |  | $\left.0^{5} 0^{5}\right)^{5}$ |  |  | $\sigma^{8} D 0^{1}$ |  |  |

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=p_{1}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(p_{2}, p_{1}+r_{1}\right)$
$n=3 \Rightarrow r_{3}=\max \left(p_{3}, p_{2}+r_{1}, p_{1}+r_{2}\right)$
$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length n ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 8 | 1 |
| (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ) $)$ | 0 |

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=p_{1}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(p_{2}, p_{1}+r_{1}\right)$
$n=3 \Rightarrow r_{3}=\max \left(p_{3}, p_{2}+r_{1}, p_{1}+r_{2}\right)$
$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length n ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 5 |  |  | 8 |  | 1 |
| (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  |

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=p_{1}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(p_{2}, p_{1}+r_{1}\right)$
$n=3 \Rightarrow r_{3}=\max \left(p_{3}, p_{2}+r_{1}, p_{1}+r_{2}\right)$
$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n$ ? $2^{n-1}$

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | $D$ |  | $0^{5}$ | D |  | $5$ |  |

- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.
(a)
(b)
(c)

(g)
(d)

(e)
(f)


(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=p_{1}+r_{0}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(p_{2}+r_{0}, p_{1}+r_{1}\right)$
$n=3 \Rightarrow r_{3}=\max \left(p_{3}+r_{0}, p_{2}+r_{1}, p_{1}+r_{2}\right)$
$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}+r_{0}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$

...
$n \quad \Rightarrow r_{n}=\max \left(p_{n}+r_{0}, p_{n-1}+r_{1}, p_{n-2}+r_{2}, \ldots, p_{1}+r_{n-1}\right)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right)$


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Example:

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n$ ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 30 |
| 9 |  | 18 |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |  | 8 | 1 |
| () |  |  |  |  |  | $0505$ |  |  |  |  |  |

(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
$n=0 \Rightarrow r_{0}=0$
$n=1 \Rightarrow r_{1}=p_{1}+r_{0}$
$n=2 \Rightarrow r_{2}=\max \left(p_{2}+r_{0}, p_{1}+r_{1}\right)$
$n=3 \Rightarrow r_{3}=\max \left(p_{3}+r_{0}, p_{2}+r_{1}, p_{1}+r_{2}\right)$
$n=4 \Rightarrow r_{4}=\max \left(p_{4}+r_{0}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{1}+r_{3}\right)$

...
$n \quad \Rightarrow r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(\underline{p_{i}+r_{n-i}}\right)$ Recurrence relation $\Rightarrow$ Recursive algorithm


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Rod of length $n$

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n ? 2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| price $p_{i}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{5}$ |  |  | $)^{8}$ |  |

- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$,
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d) for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)
- Recurrence relation: $r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right)$
- Base case: $r_{0}=0$
- Recursive (brute force) algorithm

```
Cut-Rod( }p,n
if }n==
    return 0
    q=-\infty
    for i=1 to n
    return q
```

        \(q=\max (q, p[i]+\operatorname{Cut}-\operatorname{Rod}(p, n-i)) \quad\) Running time?
    Running time?


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Rod of length $n$

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n$ ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+$ $p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.
- Recurrence relation: $r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right), r_{0}=0$
- Recursive (brute force) algorithm


```
Cut-Rod \((p, n)\)
if \(n=0\)
        return 0
    \(q=-\infty\)
    for \(i=1\) to \(n\)
        \(q=\max (q, p[i]+\operatorname{CuT}-\operatorname{Rod}(p, n-i))\)
    return \(q\)
```


## Running time?

- $T(n)=$ number of [recursive] calls to Cut-Rod function
- $T(n)=$ number nodes in the subtree of $r_{n}$ in the recursion tree


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - Rod of length $n$

- How many ways to cut up a rod of length $n$ ? $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}=\#$ of leaves
- Find an optimal decomposition $n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that the revenue $r_{n}=p_{i_{1}}+p_{i_{2}}+\cdots+$ $p_{i_{k}}$ is the maximum revenue.
- Recurrence relation: $r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right), r_{0}=0$
- Recursive (brute force) algorithm


```
Cut-Rod \((p, n)\)
if \(n==0\)
        return 0
    \(q=-\infty\)
    for \(i=1\) to \(n\)
        \(q=\max (q, p[i]+\operatorname{CuT}-\operatorname{Rod}(p, n-i))\)
    return \(q\)
```


## Running time?

- $T(n)=$ number of [recursive] calls to Cut-Rod function
- $T(n)=$ number nodes in the recursion tree
- $T(n)=\mathbf{1}+1+2+4+8+\ldots$

$$
T(n)=1+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(i)=1+\frac{2^{n}-1}{2-1}=2^{n}
$$

- $T(n) \in \Theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ Exponential (the same subproblems solved repeatedly)


## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - DP solution

- Recurrence relation: $r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right)$,
- Base case: $r_{0}=0$



$$
\text { Воттом-UP-Cut-ROD }(p, n)
$$

Bottom-up

$$
\text { let } r[0 \ldots n] \text { be a new array }
$$

(iterative)

$$
\text { for } j=1 \text { to } n
$$

$$
q=-\infty
$$

$$
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } j
$$

$$
q=\max (q, p[i]+r[j-i])
$$

$$
r[j]=q
$$

$$
\text { return } r[n]
$$

## DP Example: (3) Rod-cutting

## - DP solution

Time complexity: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
Space complexity: O(n)

- Recurrence relation: $r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right)$,
- Base case: $r_{0}=0$



$$
\text { Воттом-UP-Cut-Rod }(p, n)
$$

Bottom-up

$$
\text { let } r[0 \ldots n] \text { be a new array }
$$

(iterative)

$$
\text { for } j=1 \text { to } n
$$

$$
q=-\infty
$$

$$
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } j
$$

$$
q=\max (q, p[i]+r[j-i])
$$

$$
r[j]=q
$$

$$
\text { return } r[n]
$$

## Dynamic Programming (DP)

- Dynamic Programming Elements
- DP often (not always!) applicable to optimization problems
- Large number of possible solutions
- Must find the "best" one (maximum or minimum)
- "Optimal substructure"
- Finding the optimal solution involves finding the optimal solution to subproblems
- The subproblems are the same as the original problem, but are "smaller" (e.g., involve smaller-sized input data) Similar to D\&C
- "Overlapping subproblems" Key difference to D\&C
- Different subproblems operate on the same input data
- Allows exploitation of memoization


## Dynamic Programming (DP)

## - Dynamic Programming Recipe

1. Show the problem has optimal substructure, i.e., the optimal solution can be constructed from optimal solutions to subproblems (This step is concluded by writing the recurrence relation and its base case).
2. Show subproblems are overlapping, i.e., subproblems may be encountered many times but note the total number of distinct subproblems is polynomial (Recall the recursion tree for Fibonacci and Rod-cutting problems, where the total number of distinct subproblems was linear, i.e., $O(n)$ ).
3. Construct an algorithm that computes the optimal solution to each subproblem only once and reuses the stored result all other times (This can be done by using either top-down (recursive+memoization) or bottom-up (iterative) approach).
4. Analysis: show that time and space complexity is polynomial.

## DP Example: (4) Red-Black Game

- You are given a sequence of $n$ positive numbers $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. Initially, they are all colored black. At each move, you choose a black number $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and color it and its immediate neighbors (if any) red (the immediate neighbors are the elements $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}-1}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ ). You get $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ points for this move. The game ends when all numbers are colored red. The goal is to get as many points as possible.



## DP Example: (4) Red-Black Game

- Going for the most valuable remaining black number?
- Counter example: $\mathrm{A}=[7,3,90,100,80,5] \rightarrow \mathrm{A}=[7,3,90,100,80,5]$
- DP Solution:
- Original problem is to select from n numbers s.t. maximizing the total value.
- The optimal solution to the original problem as OPT(n)
- Subproblem: find OPT(i), where we select from the first i numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i}$
- The solution OPT(i) either incudes $a_{i}$ or not includes $a_{i}$ :
- OPT(i) includes $a_{i}$. Then OPT(i) can not include $a_{i-1}$ as $a_{i-1}$ will be colored red. So, OPT(1) would include an optimal solution for numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-2}$, that is, OP T ( $\mathrm{i}-2$ ).
- OPT(i) does NOT includes $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Then OPT(i) is an optimal solution for numbers $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$.
- Recurrence relation:
- $\operatorname{OPT}(\mathrm{i})=\max \left\{\mathrm{OPT}(\mathrm{i}-2)+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{OPT}(\mathrm{i}-1)\right\}$
- $\operatorname{OPT}(0)=0, \operatorname{OPT}(1)=a_{1}$


## Multidimensional DP

- "State" variables = variables needed for defining the recurrence relation
- Dimension of a DP algorithm = number of state variables
- So far, only one state variable $\rightarrow$ one-dimensional DP
- Fibonacci: $\mathrm{Fib}[n]=\mathrm{Fib}[n-1]+\mathrm{Fib}[n-2]$
- Rod-cutting: revenue $[n]=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}(\operatorname{prices}[i]+\operatorname{revenue}[n-i])$
- Sometimes, we need multiple state variables (dimensions) to describe and solve the problem.
- Two dimensional (more common).
- Longest common subsequence (LCS), knapsack, coin-changing, etc.
- Three dimensional:
- All-pairs shortest path (Floyd-Warshall)


## DP Example: (5) Longest Common Subsequence

## Motivation

- In biology, DNA strands represented as strings of bases: adenine (A), guanine ( G ), cytosine ( C ), thymine ( T )
- For example: ACCGGTCGAGTGC...
- One operation of interest is to determine the "similarity" of two different strings


## Longest Common Subsequence (LCS)

- Sequence is an ordered list of elements

$$
\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}>
$$

- $Z$ is a subsequence of $X$ if there is a strictly increasing sequence of indices $\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that $\mathrm{z}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ik}}$

Example: $\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>$
$\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}>$ is a subsequence of X
$\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}>$ is not a subsequence of X

- In other words, Z can be constructed by starting with X , and deleting zero or more elements


## LCS

- Given two sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}=\left\langle\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\rangle \\
\mathrm{Y}=\left\langle\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Z is a common subsequence of X and Y if Z is a subsequence of both X and Y . Compute: $\operatorname{LCS}(X, Y)=$ longest common subsequence of X and Y

Example:

$$
\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}>\quad \mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~A}>
$$

$<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}>$ is a common subsequence of X and Y
$<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>$ is an LCS of X and Y
$<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}>$ and $<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>$ are also LCS's of X and Y
(LCS may not be unique!)

## LCS

- Brute-force solution:
- Enumerate all subsequences of X
- For each such subsequence, is it also a subsequence of $Y$ ?
- Pick the longest one that is a subsequence of both $X$ and $Y$
- What is the runtime of the brute-force solution?
- m elements in X
- n elements in Y
- Hint:
- How many subsequences in X?
- How many comparisons needed?


## LCS

- Brute-force solution:
- Enumerate all subsequences of X
- For each such subsequence, is it also a subsequence of $Y$ ?
- Pick the longest one that is a subsequence of both X and Y
- What is the runtime of the brute-force solution?
- m elements in X
- $n$ elements in Y
- Hint:
- How many subsequences in X?
- How many comparisons needed?
- There are $\underline{2}^{\mathrm{m}}$ subsequences in X (each element of X is either in the subsequence or not)
- There are n comparisons needed for each subsequence
- $\mathrm{n}^{*} 2^{\mathrm{m}}$ comparisons
- Exponential runtime!


## LCS

- Given a sequence: $\left.\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\rangle$
$X_{i}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{i}>\right.$ is defined as the $i^{\text {th }}$ prefix of $X, i=0,1, \ldots m$ ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the first i elements of X )
- Example: $\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{0}=<>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{1}=<\mathrm{A}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{2}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{3}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{4}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}>$


## LCS

- Given a sequence: $\left.\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\rangle$
$X_{i}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{i}>\right.$ is defined as the $i^{\text {th }}$ prefix of $X, i=0,1, \ldots m$ ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the first i elements of X )
- Example: $\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{0}=<>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{1}=<\mathrm{A}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{2}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{3}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}>$
- $\mathrm{X}_{4}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}>$
- Key Observation:
- The LCS of sequences $X$ and $Y$ can be found by finding the LCS of prefixes of X and Y
- This leads to development of a recursive solution to computing LCS


## LCS: Optimal Substructure

- Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{x}_{8}>(\mathrm{m}=8) \\
& \mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{y}_{6}>(\mathrm{n}=6) \\
& \operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}>
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8}=\mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

Then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$, where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{8}\left(=\mathrm{y}_{6}\right)$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\neq \mathrm{x}_{8} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}\right)} \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- In other words, $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ can be built of the LCS of the prefixes of X and Y
- Subproblems same as original, but with smaller input data


## LCS: Optimal Substructure

- Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{x}_{8}>(\mathrm{m}=8) \\
& \mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{y}_{6}>(\mathrm{n}=6) \\
& \operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}>
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $x_{8}=y_{6}$ :

Then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$, where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{8}\left(=\mathrm{y}_{6}\right)$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\neq \mathrm{x}_{8} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}\right)} \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- In other words, $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ can be built of the LCS of the prefixes of X and Y
- Subproblems same as original, but with smaller input data


## LCS: Optimal Substructure

- Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{x}_{8}>(\mathrm{m}=8) \\
& \mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{y}_{6}>(\mathrm{n}=6) \\
& \operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}>
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8}=\mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

Then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$, where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{8}\left(=\mathrm{y}_{6}\right)$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6}$ :
if $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{x}_{8}$ then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}\right)$
if $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6}$ then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)$
- In other words, $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ can be built of the LCS of the prefixes of X and Y
- Subproblems same as original, but with smaller input data


## LCS: Optimal Substructure

- Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{x}_{8}>(\mathrm{m}=8) \\
& \mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{y}_{6}>(\mathrm{n}=6) \\
& \operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}>
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8}=\mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

Then $\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$, where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{8}\left(=\mathrm{y}_{6}\right)$

- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{8} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{x}_{8} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{7}, \mathrm{Y}\right) \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathrm{y}_{6} \text { then } \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Continue search using prefix of } \mathrm{Y}
$$

- In other words, $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ can be built of the LCS of the prefixes of X and Y
- Subproblems same as original, but with smaller input data


## LCS: Recurrence

- Let
$\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{x}_{8}>(\mathrm{m}=8)$
$\mathrm{Y}=<\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{y}_{6}>(\mathrm{n}=6)$
$\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Z}=<\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}>$

$$
\text { If }\left(x_{m}==y_{n}\right) \text { : }
$$

$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}$;
-compute LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
Else:

- compute LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}\right)$ and $\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
-pick the longer subsequence of the two

```
Overlapping
subproblems
```

- The above subproblems share many computations.
- For example, computing LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}\right)$ and $\mathrm{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$ both involve computing LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$


## LCS: Recurrence

- Compute the length of the LCS
- Involves computing LCS of prefixes to X and Y
- Let $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
- Data structure used for memoization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \text { : } \\
& \text { ' }_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \\
& \text {-compute LCS }\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Else:

- compute LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}\right)$ and LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
-pick the longer subsequence of the two
- $c[i, j]=0$, if $(i=0$ or $j=0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c[i-1, j-1]+1, \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i}=y_{j} \\
& =\max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i} \neq y_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}]$ is the length of $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$


## LCS: Recurrence

- Compute the length of the LCS
- Involves computing LCS of prefixes to X and Y
- Let $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
- Data structure used for memoization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}= \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \\
& \text {-compute LCS }\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Else:
-compute LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}-1}, \mathrm{Y}\right)$ and LCS $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
-pick the longer subsequence of the two

- $c[i, j]=0$, if $(i=0$ or $j=0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c[i-1, j-1]+1, \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i}=y_{j} \\
& =\max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i} \neq y_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}]$ is the length of $\operatorname{LCS}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$


## LCS: Computation

- $c[i, j]=0$, if $(i=0$ or $j=0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c[i-1, j-1]+1, \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i}=y_{j} \\
& =\max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) \text { if } i>0, j>0, \text { and } x_{i} \neq y_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
// compute LCS for 0 length cases
for (i=0; i<=m; i++) c[i,0]=0;
for (j=0; j<=n; j++) c[0,j]=0;
// compute in row-major order
for (i=1; i<=m; i++)
    for (j=1; j<=n; j++)
```



```
            // c[i][j]=max(c[i-1][j],c[i][j-1])
            else if (c[i-1][j]>=c[i][j-1]): c[i][j] = c[i-1][j];
            else: c[i][j] = c[i][j-1];
```


## LCS: Example

Determine longest common subsequence of X and Y

- $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{ABCB}$
- $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{BDCAB}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LCS}(X, Y)=B C B \\
& X=A \quad B \quad B \\
& Y=B \quad B C A B
\end{aligned}
$$



## LCS: Example

| ABCB | i | j | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{Yj} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{D}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \mathbf{A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \mathbf{B} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDCAB | 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

for (i=0; i<=m; i++) c[i,0]=0;
for ( $j=0 ; j<=n$; $j++$ ) $c[0, j]=0$;

## LCS: Example

| ABCB | i |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{Yj} \end{gathered}$ | (B) | $\mathbf{D}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 4 $\mathbf{A}$ | 5 B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDCAB | 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | (A) | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example


if ( $x_{i}==y_{j}$ ) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1; else: c[i][j] = max(c[i-1][j],c[i][j-1])

## LCS: Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] = max(c[i-1][j],c[i][j-1]) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example

| $\mathrm{ABCB}$ | i | j | 0 Yj | 1 | D | C | A | ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | (A) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | 2 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example

| $\mathrm{ABCB}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { Y }}{ }$ | B | ${ }^{2}$ | C | 4 $\mathbf{A}$ | 5 B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDCAB 0 | Xi | 0 | $0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | ) | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example

| $\mathrm{ABCB}$ | i | j | ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{j}$ | 1 | D | 3 C | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDCAB | 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 3 | C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: } c[i][j]=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ABCB} \\ & \mathrm{BDCAB} \end{aligned}$ | i |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ | $C^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \mathbf{A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 3 | C | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 4 | B | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: } c[i][j]=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1 ; \\
& \text { else: c[i][j] }=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])
\end{aligned}
$$

## LCS: Example


if $\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) \quad c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1$; else: c[i][j] $=\max (c[i-1][j], c[i][j-1])$

## LCS: Example

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ABCB} \\ & \mathrm{BDCAB} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | j | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | C | 4 A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 1 | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 3 | C | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |

if $\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right) c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1$; Length of LCS!
else: c[i][j] = max(c[i-1][j],c[i][j-1])

## LCS: Computing the LCS

- The previous step determined the length of LCS, but not the LCS itself.
- Each $c[i, j]$ depends on $c[i-1, j]$ and $c[i, j-1]$ or $c[i-1, j-1]$
- For each $c[i, j]$ we can record how it was acquired:
B


$$
\text { if }\left(x_{i}==y_{j}\right)
$$

$$
c[i][j]=
$$

$$
c[i-1][j-1]+1 ;
$$

$$
\text { " } F \text { "=found } \quad \text { " } X \text { "=advance } X
$$

## LCS: Computing the LCS

|  | j | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i |  | Yj | B | D | C | A | B |
| 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | A | 0 | 0,X | 0,X | 0,X |  | 1,Y |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | B | 0 |  |  |  | 1,X | 2, F |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | C |  | $1, \mathrm{X}$ | 1,X | 2, F | $2, \mathrm{Y}$ | 2,X |
| 4 | B | 0 | 1,F | 1,X | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\mathrm{X}}$ | 2,X | 3, F |

```
// annotate: found("F"),
// advance X("X"),advance Y("Y")
for (i=1; i<=m; i++)
    for (j=1; j<=n; j++)
        if ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{\mathbf{i}}{===}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{y}}{j}{\prime})
        c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1;
        b[i][j]="F";
    else if (c[i-1][j]>=c[i][j-1])
        c[i][j] = c[i-1][j];
        b[i][j]="X";
    else
        c[i][j] = c[i][j-1];
        b[i][j]="Y";
```


## LCS: Computing the LCS

- Remember that

$$
c[i, j]= \begin{cases}c[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text { if } x[i]=y[j] \\ \max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- So, we can start from $c[m, n]$ and go backwards
- Whenever $c[i, j]=c[i-1, j-1]+1$, remember $x[i]$ (because $x[i]$ is a part of the LCS computed)
- When $\mathrm{i}=0$ or $\mathrm{j}=0$ (i.e., we reached the beginning), output the remembered letters in reverse order


## LCS: Computing the LCS

|  | j | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i |  | Yj | B | D | C | A | B |
| 0 | Xi | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | A | 0 | 0,X | 0,X | 0,X |  | 1,Y |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | B | 0 |  |  |  | 1,X | 2, F |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | C |  | $1, \mathrm{X}$ | 1,X | 2, F | $2, \mathrm{Y}$ | 2,X |
| 4 | B | 0 | 1,F | 1,X | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\mathrm{X}}$ | 2,X | 3, F |

```
// annotate: found("F"),
// advance X("X"),advance Y("Y")
for (i=1; i<=m; i++)
    for (j=1; j<=n; j++)
        if ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{X}}{\textrm{i}}{===}\mp@subsup{\textrm{y}}{j}{\prime}\mathrm{ ):
        c[i][j]=c[i-1][j-1]+1;
        b[i][j]="F";
    else if (c[i-1][j]>=c[i][j-1])
        c[i][j] = c[i-1][j];
        b[i][j]="x";
    else
        c[i][j] = c[i][j-1];
        b[i][j]="Y";
```


## LCS: Computing the LCS



## LCS: Output (Printing) the LCS

```
// annotate: found("F"),
// advance X("X"),advance Y("Y")
for (i=1; i<=m; i++)
    for (j=1; j<=n; j++)
        if ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{\textrm{i}}{===}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{y}}{\textrm{j}}{})\mathrm{ :
        C[i][j]=C[i-1][j-1]+1;
        b[i][j]="F";
        else if (c[i-1][j]>=c[i][j-1])
            c[i][j] = c[i-1][j];
            b[i][j]="X";
        else
        C[i][j] = c[i][j-1];
        b[i][j]="Y";
```

```
// to print LCS, call Print_LCS:
Print_LCS(b, X, m, n);
// follow annotations to print out
Print_LCS(b, x, i, j):
    if ((i==0)| | (j==0)) return;
    if (b[i][j] == "F")
        Print_LCS(b, x, i-1, j-1);
        print (x);
    else if (b[i][j] == "x")
        Print_LCS(b, x, i-1, j);
    else
        Print_LCS(b, x, i, j-1);
```


## LCS: Running Time

-What is the execution time for each step of this algorithm?

- Step 1: Computing LCS
- Step 2: Printing


## LCS: Running Time

-What is the execution time for each step of this algorithm?

- Step 1: Computing LCS
- $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n})$ to fill in matrix
- Step 2: Printing
- $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n})$


## DP: Summary

- Dynamic programming is a general algorithm approach similar to divide and conquer, but with shared/overlapped subproblems rather than disjoint ones.
- Efficiency is obtained by recording (memoization) the solution of subproblems rather than recomputing them.
- Dynamic programming applicable to many optimization problems
- Two main elements:
- Optimal substructure
- Overlapping subproblems
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